

# On the Complexity of Intersecting Regular, Context-free, and Tree Languages

**Michael Wehar**  
University at Buffalo

Joseph Swernofsky  
Independent Researcher

ICALP 2015: Track B

July 9, 2015

- Let two parameterized problems  $X$  and  $Y$  with parameters  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , respectively, be given.
- Consider fixing the parameters  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  to a fixed value  $k$ .
- We get fixed levels  $X_k$  and  $Y_k$ , respectively.
- We can expand out problems  $X$  and  $Y$  into infinitely many fixed levels.
- As a result, we get infinite families  $\{X_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{Y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

- In parameterized complexity theory, there are existing notions of *fpt*-reduction and *fpt*-equivalence.
- In our work, we are focused on the fixed levels of the parameterized problems.
- We are especially interested in the case where the fixed levels of  $X$  and  $Y$  exactly match up.
- Intuitively, we want  $X_k$  is reducible to  $Y_k$ . We don't merely want a polynomial  $p$  such that  $X_k$  is reducible to  $Y_{p(k)}$ .

## Definition

$\{X_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is LBL-reducible to  $\{Y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  if there is an infinite family of reductions  $\{r_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that for each  $k$ ,  $r_k$  reduces  $X_k$  to  $Y_k$  and each reduction  $r_k$  is computable in some fixed polynomial amount of time.

- More formally, there needs to exist a fixed function  $f$  and a constant  $c$  such that each  $r_k$  is computable in  $f(k) \cdot n^c$  time.
- $\{X_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{Y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  are LBL-equivalent if both families are LBL-reducible to each other.

## Intersection Non-Emptiness for DFA's

Given a finite list of DFA's, is there a string that simultaneously satisfies all the DFA's?

- We denote this problem by  $IE_{\mathcal{D}}$ .
- We use  $n$  for the total length of the input's encoding.
- We use  $k$  for the number of DFA's in the list.

# An Acceptance Problem

## Acceptance for logspace bounded NTM's

Given an encoding of a NTM  $M$ , an input string  $x$ , and a number  $k$ , does  $M$  accept  $x$  using at most  $k \log(n)$  bits of memory?

- We denote this problem by  $N_{O(\log(n))}^S$ .
- The TM's that we consider have a two-way read only input tape and a two-way read/write binary work tape.

- Consider expanding out  $\text{IE}_{\mathcal{D}}$  into infinitely many fixed levels based on the number of DFA's.
- We get the infinite family  $\{k\text{-IE}_{\mathcal{D}}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .
- Consider expanding out  $N_{O(\log(n))}^S$  into infinitely many fixed levels based on the coefficient.
- We get the infinite family  $\{N_{k \log(n)}^S\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .
- These two infinite families are LBL-equivalent (ICALP 2014).

## Theorem

$\{N_{k \log(n)}^S\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is LBL-reducible to  $\{k\text{-IE}_{\mathcal{D}}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

- Let a  $k \log(n)$ -space bound NTM  $M$  be given.
- Let an input string  $x$  of length  $n$  be given.
- A computation of  $M$  on  $x$  is a sequence of configurations.
- Each configuration includes the tape content.



## Theorem

$\{N_{k \log(n)}^S\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is LBL-reducible to  $\{k\text{-IE}_{\mathcal{D}}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

- The tape is a sequence of  $k \log(n)$  bits.
- We can break up this sequence into  $k$  regions.
- Each region will cover  $\log(n)$  bits of the tape.
- We build  $k$  DFA's to collectively verify a “computation”.
- We assign one DFA to each region.

## Intersection Non-Emptiness for DFA's and One PDA

Given a finite list of DFA's and one PDA, is there a string that simultaneously satisfies all of the automata?

- We denote this problem by  $IE_{\mathcal{P}}$ .
- We use  $n$  for the total length of the input's encoding.
- We use  $k$  for the number of DFA's in the list.

# An Acceptance Problem

## Acceptance for logspace bounded AuxPDA's

Given an encoding of an auxiliary PDA  $M$ , an input string  $x$ , and a number  $k$ , does  $M$  accept  $x$  using at most  $k \log(n)$  bits of auxiliary memory?

- We denote this problem by  $Aux_{O(\log(n))}^S$ .
- An auxiliary PDA has a two-way read only input tape, a stack, and a two-way read/write auxiliary binary work tape.

- Consider expanding out  $IE_{\mathcal{P}}$  into infinitely many fixed levels based on the number of DFA's.
- We get the infinite family  $\{k\text{-}IE_{\mathcal{P}}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .
- Consider expanding out  $Aux_{O(\log(n))}^S$  into infinitely many fixed levels based on the coefficient.
- We get the infinite family  $\{Aux_{k \log(n)}^S\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .
- These two infinite families are LBL-equivalent.

## Theorem

$\{Aux_{k \log(n)}^S\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is LBL-reducible to  $\{k\text{-IE}_{\mathcal{P}}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

- The reduction is essentially the same.
- We build  $k$  DFA's and one PDA to collectively verify an AuxPDA “computation”.
- The auxiliary work tape is split up into  $k$  regions.
- We assign one DFA to each region.
- The single PDA is used to keep track of the stack.

# Another Equivalence

- We also look at intersection non-emptiness for tree automata.
- Using similar techniques, we prove LBL-equivalence with acceptance for logspace bounded ATM's.
- We get  $\{k\text{-IE}_{\mathcal{T}}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{A_{k \log(n)}^S\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  are LBL-equivalent.

- Solving IE for  $k$  DFA's is equivalent to simulating a NTM that uses  $k \log(n)$  bits of memory.
- Solving IE for  $k$  DFA's and one PDA is equivalent to simulating an AuxPDA that uses  $k \log(n)$  bits of memory.
- Solving IE for  $k$  Tree Automata is equivalent to simulating an ATM that uses  $k \log(n)$  bits of memory.

# An Acceptance Problem

## Acceptance for polytime bounded DTM's

Given an encoding of a DTM  $M$ , an input string  $x$ , and a number  $k$ , does  $M$  accept  $x$  in  $n^k$  steps or less?

- We denote this problem by  $D_{\text{poly}(n)}^T$ .
- Consider expanding out  $D_{\text{poly}(n)}^T$  into infinitely many fixed levels based on the exponent.
- We get the infinite family  $\{D_{n^k}^T\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .



## Known Results

The following machine models are equivalent:

- 1  $n^k$ -time bound DTM's
- 2  $k \log(n)$ -space bounded AuxPDA's
- 3  $k \log(n)$ -space bounded ATM's.

- Therefore, the following are LBL-equivalent:  $\{D_{n^k}^T\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\{Aux_{k \log(n)}^S\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , and  $\{A_{k \log(n)}^S\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .
- Further, the following are LBL-equivalent:  $\{D_{n^k}^T\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\{k\text{-IE}_{\mathcal{P}}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , and  $\{k\text{-IE}_{\mathcal{T}}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

# Lower Bounds

- By the time hierarchy theorem, we get an  $n^k$ -time lower bound for the  $D_{n^k}^T$  problem.
- Since LBL-equivalence preserves lower bounds, we get the following:
  - ▶  $\exists c_1 \forall k \ k\text{-IE}_{\mathcal{P}} \notin \text{DTIME}(n^{c_1 k})$
  - ▶  $\exists c_2 \forall k \ k\text{-IE}_{\mathcal{T}} \notin \text{DTIME}(n^{c_2 k})$
- Similarly, we prove lower bounds for non-emptiness for multi-stack PDA's with  $\log(k)$  phase switches.
- These are near tight lower bounds for each level of the respective parameterized problem.